**NOTE: Text of questions are directly copied from emails received without editing.**

**Question:** Can the storm water runoff from the street extension be routed to the existing detention pond east of the Washington County Highway Department or will there need to be detention pond designed to provide stormwater management for the street extension?

**Answer:** The Consultant shall include storm water management analysis as part of their design work. The approved storm water management permit (SWMP W01-11) accounts for the pond to accommodate drainage from a portion of the proposed future road (see attached map extract from the SWMP file). The consultant will discuss with the City to determine the most efficient way to address storm water management for the project, and incorporate the solution in their design.

**Question:** Can you email out a map of the existing sanitary sewer, water main and storm sewer that are currently in the Rolfs Avenue?

**Answer:** The City’s as-built drawings of sanitary sewer, water main and storm sewer in the area of the Rolfs Avenue Extension are attached to this Questions & Answers Document.

**Question:** Will the street extension require re-routing or installing new sanitary sewer and water main?

**Answer:** No.

**Questions:** Will Schmidt Road remain as is? And how will Schmidt Road transition into the new intersection of Creek Road and Rolfs Avenue be configured?

**Answers:** Once Rolfs Avenue extension is open to the public, the City will vacate the portion of the existing Schmidt Road right-of-way (ROW) as illustrated on the map included in the RFP. This vacated ROW will then become property of Washington County who will control its future condition. The intent for the transition to the new intersection of Creek Road and Rolfs Avenue is illustrated on the map included in the RFP. Details of the configuration should be included in the Consultant’s design work. This will require discussions with City staff and possibly County staff during the project design phase.

**Question:** Will the proposed roadway have an urban on rural typical section?

**Answer:** The proposed roadway extension will have an urban typical section, with sidewalk on only one side.

**Question:** Please confirm that the proposed roadway project will include both the proposed Rolf's Ave. extension as shown in the RFQ as well as a reconstruction of the gravel segment of Rolf's Ave. to the south of the extension (to match into the urban section of Rolf’s Ave.).

**Answer:** Yes, the project will extend to the end of the existing curbed urban section of Rolf’s Avenue.

**Question:** Should the proposal include street lighting design services?

**Answer:** We Energies will perform street lighting design & construction. The Consultant will facilitate coordination with We Energies.
Question: Should the proposal include wetland delineation services?
Answer: The Consultant shall determine if a wetland delineation is needed, and include those work efforts within their proposal.

Question: Does the City anticipate holding a Public Information Meeting for the project?
Answer: The City anticipates one Public Information Meeting for this project. Timing of the meeting will be determined with the selected Consultant.

Question: Does the City have a certain number of required soil borings or depth to include within the proposal?
Answer: The City does not have a certain number of required soil borings or depth to include within the proposal. The Consultant shall be responsible for evaluating and determining the extent of the subsurface exploration needed to accommodate project design efforts outlined in the proposal.

Question: The RFQ states the consultant will provide the County with the proposed roadway alignment and corresponding right-of-way dimensions. Does that mean that the County be providing any other necessary land transfer documents (legal descriptions, r/w plat?, etc.)?
Answer: The Consultant shall prepare the plat showing the land interests (right-of-way and easements) needed for the Rolf’s Ave Extension. The City will then provide that plat to the County Highway Commissioner, who will see the process through at the County level.

Question: The RFQ states the consultant will assist the City the condemnation or other legal processes for r/w or other easements from any affected property owners other than Washington County, but mentions it’s not anticipated to be needed. This is difficult to determine prior to a design being completed. It’s possible the proposed design may require some real estate acquisition at the south and north connection points. In order to provide accurate comparisons of proposals, would the City consider keeping this as additional services in the proposal? Or state a certain number of real estate parcels as a separate price for everyone to propose on?
Answer: This provision was included due to uncertainty about land interests needed from Parcel # 1119-124-0007.

Question: It’s our understanding that any work associated with the future abandonment of Schmidt Road or the unnamed road is not part of this proposal. Please confirm.
Answer: Work associated with the vacation of Schmidt Road is included as part of this proposal. Please see Scope of Services Section 3(c).

Question: Could you please explain if we are removing any portion of Schmidt Road at the STH 33 intersection that would require permitting with the Department of Transportation?
Answer: No work is planned as part of this project to remove any portion of Schmidt Road at the STH 33 intersection.

Question: Is there any state funding?
Answer: No.
**Question:** You mention federal permitting…do you anticipate federal funding?

**Answer:** No.

**Question:** What are you going to do with the existing Schmidt Road when the project is done. Not on the transfer of the road/property, but the road itself? Will it remain open? Will there be an intersection with Rolf’s extension?

**Answer:** Schmidt Road will become the property of Washington County after it is vacated by the City. At this time, it’s our understanding that the County intends to close Schmidt Road after it is vacated, and will close the opening on Washington Street with curb and create a driveway somewhere on the north end to Rolf’s Avenue extension.

**Question:** I assume no abandonment or work is anticipated at the intersection of Schmidt Road and STH 33…correct?

**Answer:** Correct.

**Question:** In that same manner, is there any re-alignment of STH 33 and Rolfs Avenue? If no work is required at all at STH 33, what DOT permitting is required?

**Answer:** See recently published Addendum 1.

**Question:** If there is no state or federal funding we will just follow local requirements which appear to include form DT 1923 as a requirement. Can we assume that all other typical DOT type design process forms/requirements are not required, including exceptions report, design study report, environmental report, archeological, etc.?

**Answer:** Scope of Services Section 1.a.i may be omitted for this project. However, the Consultant shall be responsible for determining if Scope of Services Section 1.a.ii. and Scope of Services Section 1.a.iii. are applicable.

**Question:** If archeological is required, how far do you want us to go with it? We could limit it to just a literature search which is a minimal cost/effort, but then field work may be required as an extra. Based on what I see in the field nothing should be needed, but want to confirm.

**Answer:** If archeological is required, the Consultant shall determine how far to go with it, and write their proposal accordingly.

**Question:** How many borings do you anticipate?

**Answer:** The Consultant shall be responsible for evaluating and determining the extent of the subsurface exploration needed to accommodate project design efforts as outlined in the proposal.

**Question:** Is a traffic pattern analysis not required if no work is done at STH 33?

**Answer:** See recently published Addendum 1. The Consultant shall determine if a traffic pattern analysis is needed for the improvements to the Rolfs Ave/STH 33 intersection, and include those work efforts within their proposal.
**Question:** Is a right of way play required, or just exhibits and legals? Will these be turned over to the City for the actual vacate (recording documents done by the City)?

**Answer:** Consultants should plan on generating the ROW plat to provide to the City and the County for actual vacation and/or other land exchange processes.

**Question:** Can you explain scope of service item #5.d? This will be difficult to put a cost to. Do we put a cap on the amount of hours?

**Answer:** Once the Rolfs Avenue design is complete, the Consultant will be asked to determine how much material will need to be removed to prepare the existing site, in order to minimize grading during actual construction of the road. The plan is to find someone willing to purchase the material and willing to provide all efforts to excavate and haul it away. The Consultant would be expected to provide staking and construction oversight for this additional “fill removal” project. As part of their proposal, Consultants should estimate the amount of hours anticipated for such work and include that as the “cap” within their proposal.

**Question:** As the proposal states that the City will obtain construction management and inspection services through a separate proposal, will a company that is awarded the design contract be allowed to bid the construction of the project as a prime or sub-contractor?

**Answer:** The City currently does not have any ordinances or policies in place which would prevent the company from being allowed to bid on multiple aspects of the project.

**END.**

---
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