

CITY OF DERBY

INFRASTRUCTURE COMMITTEE SPECIAL MEETING

MONDAY, JUNE 20, 2016 – 6:00 P.M.

DERBY CITY HALL – JOAN WILLIAMSON ALDERMANIC CHAMBERS

MINUTES

Chairman Keith A. McLiverty called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m. All rose and pledged allegiance to the flag.

Roll Call

Present: Keith A. McLiverty, Richard Bartholomew, Robert Bell, Kelly Curtis, William Marcucio

Absent: David Anroman

Also Present: The Honorable Mayor Anita Dugatto
Stephen Iacuone, Alderman
Lindsay King, Plant Superintendent – Water Pollution Control

PUBLIC PORTION

Mr. McLiverty asked if anyone wished to address the committees. Hearing no requests...

A MOTION was made by Mr. Bell with a second by Mr. Marcucio to close the public portion. **Motion carried.**

UPDATE ON RFQ FOR PUMP STATIONS

The plans and specifications are 100% complete. The only thing that Weston & Sampson is now waiting on is the Prevailing Wage Rates. Mr. McLiverty asked if this has been advertised in the paper. It was noted that Marcy McGuire from WPCA has sent in the Invitation to Bid to the New Haven Register. Mr. Bartholomew questioned the representative from Weston & Sampson about the wage rates – how much longer is it going to take. It was noted that they should receive them any day now. Mr. McLiverty asked the committee members if anyone else had any questions. None were raised.

PHASE III PROPOSAL FOR ROOSEVELT DRIVE – DISCUSSION/POSSIBLE ACTION

Mr. Demanuel, Chair of the WPCA said that WPCA first sent a letter to Seymour WPCA to see if they would be interested with an interconnect. He said it is his understanding that this was discussed in the past and Seymour is not interested. Mr. Demanuel said they have been talking to Westcott and Mapes about getting a second opinion. They have provided three proposals. Proposal A would be to review the existing plans then

come up with alternatives for upgrading. The cost to do this review would be to not exceed \$6,000. Proposal B would be to have Westcott and Mapes review the design plans by Weston & Sampson and then develop alternatives, which would include upgrading and modifying to the construction of a new pump station. The cost would be \$16,000. Proposal C would look at the entire plan from start to finish. The last proposal would not exceed \$16,000, which would require us to go out to bid or go to the Board of Aldermen. Mr. Demanuel said the majority of the questions are whether we move the pump station or keep it where it is. The other question regarding capacity is something that we as a City can discuss.

Mr. McLiverty went around the table and asked if there were any questions. Mr. Curtis asked if it is a requirement of the State to get a second opinion. Mr. McLiverty said if he's not mistaken DEEP had asked that we have additional engineering. Mr. Curtis asked if this would come out of the bond. Mr. McLiverty said it would be an eligible expense assessing a scope of work related to the bond. Mr. King said they didn't require it – they recommended it. It was noted that it really is more to satisfy some of the questions that the State has raised and to show diligence on our end. Ultimately it is up to us on how we want to proceed; however it will go a long way to satisfy some of their questions.

Mr. McLiverty asked if there were any more questions. None were raised.

PHASE III – HANDOUT

Mr. Iacuone said at the last meeting Jean Perry-Phillips was here and she's working with EPA on the Order and the timeline of dates that we're proceeding to do. It was noted that we have a November response date to EPA. This is the Phase III Environmental Study to stay in line with the paperwork for the EPA, along with the DEEP. Mr. Iacuone said whether we stay on one side of the road or we go to the other we're trying to run this parallel to it. If they say no you can't go on the river you have to go across the street we would have to go to the Phase III according to Atty. Phillips. So rather than wait to bring it in front of them we put the agreement out there to look at so next month if Atty. Phillips has an answer whether we're going to move or not we would already have the paperwork and be familiar with it. There is no action to take tonight - just wanted to familiarize everyone with what Phase III would be. Mr. Iacuone said he does not believe that Mr. Kingston has been approached with the agreement yet.

Mr. McLiverty questioned whether or not the environmental has already been done on that property. Mr. Iacuone said yes it has been in Phase I & Phase II. He said Atty. Phillips wants to go further and look at Phase III. Mr. Wester said Phase III is the more detailed environmental study. They would be doing borings, etc... He said what they're trying to do with the Phase III is to quantify how much, if any – well not if any since its already been determined that there is some contamination. He said this way they can put a dollar figure to how much it's going to cost to clean up what's there.

Mr. McLiverty said there was a term somebody used – there was an incident out there or there wasn't an incident. Mr. Wester said whether or not it is considered an "establishment." This will help to determine all of that. Mr. Iacuone said they have four

weeks for a timeline so realistically at the beginning of October we would have to render a decision for that. Mr. McLiverty asked Mr. Wester if this would be subbed out. Mr. Wester said it would – field work, borings, drillings, collections of samples, etc...

Mr. McLiverty asked if there were any more questions. Mr. Marcucio said this would all be to just move across the street. Mr. Iacuone said yes. This will tell us the cost estimate whether it's cheaper to (inaudible) or cheaper to move it across the street. If the DEEP turns around and says we're not going to give you the permit to be on the river at least this way we'll know the costs and we can start the ball rolling. Mr. McLiverty said just to follow through on what Mr. Demanuel said if the determination was Proposal A – to leave it where it exists now then this is a requirement. If Proposal B is to move it across the street then this is essential and needed.

Mr. Demanuel said on Proposal B is they assume that we're moving the station across the street so all they're looking at is the plans for building it at the new location in which case a Phase III analysis would come into play. If we decided on Proposal A – just give us the opinion on where the station should be and they come back and agree with our position that we should move the station across the street then I think that satisfies part of CT DEEP's concern and then Phase III becomes something that we need to do understanding (inaudible.) Mr. McLiverty said so then the Westcott and Mapes study tells us it could stay or move across the street - that scope of work is \$9,000.00±. Mr. Demanuel said yes – Mr. Miani asked if the W.P.C.A. would take action on that and their meeting is tonight. Mr. McLiverty said Westcott and Mapes are going to do the review for \$9,000 to see if the station stays in the existing location or it moves across the street. If it goes across then there's another cost for them to do a complete review.

Mr. Demanuel said one of the things that we need to decide, and we're interested in the Infrastructure Committee's opinion as well, is we need them to review everything – keeping it in the present location or moving it across the street – that is more than a \$16,000 scope of work and we would need to go out to bid or ask for a bid waiver. If we're comfortable with just having them look at the initial proposal and just leave the station where it is and then come back to us and give us that analysis and we're comfortable with that we get it done for \$6,000. Then we can move into the Phase III analysis of moving it across the street.

Mayor Dugatto said we're still waiting to hear from DEEP to determine whether it's in a floodway or not and that's the beginning of all of this. Then the costs are what we try to look at and that's where the \$6,000 (inaudible) in the existing space. She said she doesn't know where the \$9,000 (inaudible.) Mr. Demanuel said the \$9,000 assumes that we're moving it. The \$6,000 is keeping it in the same place. It hasn't been decided yet whether it's a floodway. Weston & Sampson needs a pre-appointment. Mr. Wester said Atty. Phillips was going to look into that. Mayor Dugatto said she believes it has to be done on-line.

Mr. Miani said he feels we're starting to put the cart before the horse. We really need to look if we can keep the pump station in place. He said the talk about Seymour doesn't make any sense – it's moot. He said we did this a year ago and they weren't interested

and didn't even respond. Mr. Miani said why we're trying to get in contact with Seymour again and holding everything up doesn't make any sense. He said we had a meeting on it a year ago and now we're regurgitating the same thing. If Seymour isn't a part of it we don't need a 4,000 gallon pump in that pump station and if we don't need a 4,000 gallon pump then we don't need that \$3 million pipeline. Mr. Miani said we need to get the horse in front of the cart and go a step at a time. We need to start at stage 1. Mr. Iacuone said Atty. Phillips wanted to have it on record that there was a discussion about EPA. Mr. McLiverty said it's there.

Mr. McLiverty asked if there were any more questions. Mr. Curtis had no questions. Mr. Bell asked if we're told that we have to move the pump station across the street what about the cleanup costs. Mr. McLiverty said if we do this and we convince DEEP that we're going to go across the street but these costs are prohibitive now what do we do? We're stuck. Mr. Iacuone said whether they will permit or not there's a faction of DEEP who review the prints and there's a faction of DEEP that does the permitting for the site being basically in a floodway. He said if they decide that no we won't get a permit then it's a moot point. You either have to move to somewhere else – whether it be across the street or to the cemetery – it has to come out of that floodway line that everybody can't agree where it is. Now they have the surveyor data that they're looking at. Mr. Iacuone said now the other (inaudible) if they turn around and say yes we'll allow you to put it there then it's going to be where's the cost of what you're going to do for your permit outside of the construction costs.

There was no further discussion.

REVIEW OF LEGAL BILLS – DISCUSSION/POSSIBLE ACTION

A MOTION was made by Mr. Bartholomew with a second by Mr. Bell to pay \$2,048.50 to Pullman & Comley for the Order items on Invoice #306728. **Motion carried.**

A MOTION was made by Mr. Bartholomew with a second by Mr. Bell to pay \$2,082.00 to Pullman & Comley for the Order items on Invoice #307346. **Motion carried.**

A MOTION was made by Mr. Bartholomew with a second by Mr. Curtis to pay \$2,080.75 to United Rental.

DISCUSSION MOTION

Mr. Iacuone said this is to replace the trench box that was all banged up (inaudible.) He said it is part of the sewer that is holding up that street. Mr. Curtis said it needs to stay in place until the final repairs so we should expect a few more months of this. Mr. Bartholomew asked if the price would stay the same. Mr. Iacuone said it should.

MOTION CARRIED.

Mr. McLiverty informed the committee members that David Anroman got in touch with him. He said Mr. Anroman works Wednesday, Thursday and Friday and asked if it is possible to change the meeting schedule to meet on Tuesday. He asked the committee members if anyone has a problem with meeting on Tuesdays. Ms. Finn

stated that she normally has four meetings the second Tuesday of each month. Mr. McLiverty said he is trying to schedule on the same night as W.P.C.A. since Mr. Anroman is on both boards. Mr. Curtis said his feeling is if you can't make the meeting why be on the board. He has nothing against Mr. Anroman but I think I've seen him at one meeting in a year and a half.

It was the consensus of the committee to leave the meeting schedule as is.

With no further business to come before the committee....

ADJOURNMENT

A MOTION was made by Mr. Bell with a second by Mr. Marcucio to adjourn the meeting at 6:27 p.m. **Motion carried.**

Respectfully submitted,

Patty Finn
Recording Secretary

THESE MINUTES ARE SUBJECT TO APPROVAL BY THE INFRASTRUCTURE COMMITTEE AT THEIR NEXT MEETING